



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,236	06/26/2003	Venkat Selvamanickam	1014-SP106	7733
34456	7590	01/27/2009	EXAMINER	
LARSON NEWMAN ABEI. & POLANSKY, LLP			KACKAR, RAM N	
5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200				1792
AUSTIN, TX 78730			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/609,236	Applicant(s) SELVAMANICKAM ET AL.
	Examiner Ram N. Kackar	Art Unit 1792

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2009.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 2,7-11 and 26-33 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 2,7-11 and 26-33 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/2/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 2, 7-11 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lijima et al (2001/0006042) in view of Vaidya et al (US 5076203).**

Lijima et al disclose a process for cooling and positioning a translating substrate (tape like) which could be comprise metal like nickel (Paragraph 59) in a deposition chamber for vacuum deposition (abstract and Fig 3), gas inlet (38), source of deposition material (36), means of delivering the deposition material (ion beam -38), means of translating a substrate (24,25), means of positioning the substrate so that deposition material impinges on the substrate (23) whereas the substrate positioning means contains means to cool the substrate. Lijima et al further

teach that the process is used for making a buffer layer of yttrium stabilized zirconia (YSZ) or MgO for a superconducting film (Abstract and paragraph 71) using ion assist (39).

Further Lijma et al teach that FWHM (full width at half maximum) is the measure of biaxial texture (*indicator of crystal orientation- Paragraph 99*) and that it could be minimum at an incidence angle of 50-60 degrees (paragraph 16, 87 and 99). Further Lijima et al disclose various parameters affecting FWHM and disclose it to be below 10 degrees (Fig 13).

Lijima et al fails to teach that substrate positioning means contains equally spaced internal gaseous coolant delivery channels and additional liquid coolant channels and specific size of gas orifices.

Vaidya et al disclose a process for cooling and positioning a translating substrate in a deposition chamber for vacuum deposition (Col 1 lines 8-11, Col 2 lines 1-25), gas inlet (Fig 7-Fig 10), means of delivering the deposition material (electron -beam heater (Col 3 line 35), means of translating a substrate (Fig 6-22) from 0-90 meters per min (Abstract), curved means of positioning the substrate so that deposition material impinges on the substrate (23) whereas the substrate positioning means contains internal liquid coolant channels (23a and 23b) and internal gaseous coolant delivery channels (Fig 6-30, Fig 7-Fig10 and Col 6 lines 5-68) which could use oxygen or argon to allow the temperature from 0° C upwards.

As discussed above Vaidya et al disclose that substrate positioning means contains internal liquid coolant channels (23a and 23b) and internal gaseous coolant delivery channels from behind the support either through porous fixed support (Fig 7- Fig10 and Col 6 lines 5-20) or through an enclosed cavity (Fig 7-10 and Col 6 lines 44-68). Regarding the limitation of equal spaced openings Vaidya et al disclose equal spaced channels through porous medium as well as

behind it (Fig 7-44 and Fig 9-63) and are oriented in away to make the gas at high pressure flow perpendicularly at the back of the translating substrate.

Furthermore, Vaidya et al teach that these features could be combined (Col 6 lines 44-68) and teach that the injection holes could be 1.5 mm diameter at 15 mm pitch (Col 4 lines 3-9).

Vaidya et al teach that the gaseous delivery behind the web substrate reduces friction in addition to provide cooling by conduction of heat between the substrate and the cooled support (Col 4 lines 37-55).

Therefore having gaseous delivery behind the web substrate to reduces friction in addition to provide cooling by conduction and convection means and provision of liquid coolant channels in IBAD apparatus would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention in order to remove the heat from the positioning means and reduce friction to enable higher web speed.

Since the hole diameter and spacing determine the amount of gas and its distribution behind the substrate which affects amount and uniformity of cooling it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the porous outlets in the support by spaced holes to distribute sufficient gas behind the tape substrate for optimum heat transfer and reduced friction.

Regarding the gas channels extending to the first surface and being hollow and open along an entirety of said length, Fig 7-Fig 10 show gas channels, which extend to the first surface through the pores in the porous material since they allow the flow to reach the first surface. It is inherent that the pores work because they are connected to each other continuously up to the surface and they must be hollow to allow the flow to take place.

Regarding the limitation “internal gaseous coolant delivery channels extend through the substrate block along a portion thereof, the internal gaseous coolant delivery channels have a length, are hollow along the entirety of said length, and extend to respective openings at positions spaced apart from each other at a first surface of the substrate block where the substrate block contacts the translating substrate, the openings are equally spaced apart with respect to each other along a second direction perpendicular to the first direction” it is noted that pores and tubular channels are equivalent and function in the same way. Further, since the pores are uniformly distributed, the pores and gas channels supplying gas to the porous material function to distribute coolant gas uniformly to the moving substrate 45.

It is agreed that the gas openings distribution through salient tubes (316) as disclosed in Fig 3A and its section in Fig 3B does not look exactly like the pores as in Fig 7-10 in Vaidya et al. However, pores are tubelets spread uniformly and being open perpendicular to the block and the movable substrate. These tubelets therefore meet the definition of delivery channels spread uniformly spaced apart in two dimensions as required by the claims. Further, they work exactly like the delivery channels in Fig 3A and 3B of the specification.

4. Claims 2, 7-11 and 26-33 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lijima et al (2001/0006042) in view of Vaidya et al (US 5076203) and further in view of John Madocks (US 7025833).

Lijima et al in view of Vaidya et al is disclosed above.

Still further, a similar arrangement for web cooling is disclosed by John Madocks where gas escapes between the back of the web and chill drum (Fig 3-14 46, Abstract and description).

Therefore it would be obvious to have hollow gaseous delivery channels in place of porous channels as being equivalent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/2/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants arguments regarding porous channels compared to hollow straight channels is not persuasive as they work same way allowing uniform gas perpendicular to the exit surface.

Further hollow straight channels equivalent to porous channels are also disclosed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ram N Kackar/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792